Amendment Dated 10/06/06

Response to Office Action Dated: 04/21/06

6. (currently amended) The system of claim [5] 1 further including fifth logic to facilitate user specification of at least one meaning statement, related to a corresponding at least one problem or subtopic statement, to populate a corresponding at least one meaning statement structure, and wherein the control logic further persuades user interactions additionally with the first through fifth meaning statement logic to a sequence of interactions within a predefined set of interaction sequences.

- 7. (original) The system of claim 2 wherein the specification of knowledge includes text specification.
- 8. (currently amended) The system of claim 2 wherein the specification of knowledge includes at least one of graphic, image, <u>video</u>, or drawing specification.
- 9. (original) The system of claim 3 wherein the specification of information includes text specification.
- 10. (currently amended) The system of claim 3 wherein the specification of information includes at least one of graphic, image, video, or drawing specification.
- 11. (original) The system of claim 4 wherein the specification of analysis includes text specification.
- 12. (currently amended) The system of claim 4 wherein the specification of analysis includes at least one of graphic, image, video, or drawing specification.
- 13. (original) The system of claim 5 wherein the specification of at least one subtopic includes text specification.
- 14. (currently amended) The system of claim 5 wherein the specification of at least one subtopic includes at least one of graphic, image, video, or drawing specification.
- 15. (original) The system of claim 6 wherein the specification of at least one meaning statement includes text specification.
- 16. (currently amended) The system of claim 6 wherein the specification of at least one meaning statement includes at least one of graphic, image, <u>video</u>, or drawing specification.
- 17. (original) The system of claim 1 wherein the control logic includes logic to cause a presentation to the user, including depiction of suggested next steps to the user.
- 18. (original) The system of claim 1 wherein the control logic includes logic to cause a view presentation to the user, wherein the view presentation includes a subset of the problem specification, conclusion specification, and knowledge specification, and includes a depiction of the relationships therebetween.
- 19. (currently amended) The system of claim 6 wherein the control logic includes logic to cause a view presentation to the user, wherein the view presentation includes a subset of the problem specification, conclusion specification, knowledge

Amendment Dated 10/06/06

Response to Office Action Dated: 04/21/06

specification, at least one <u>of the</u> subtopic statement specification[,] and meaning statement specification, and includes a depiction of the relationships therebetween.

- 20. (original) The system of claim 1 further including logic to present to the user at least a subset of content of the problem statement structure, the conclusion statement structure and the knowledge structure.
- 21. (original) The system of claim 20 wherein the logic to present includes logic to depict relationships among content of the problem statement structure, the conclusion statement structure, and the knowledge structure.
- 22. (original) The system of claim 21 wherein the logic to depict relationships includes logic to depict hierarchical relationships.
- 23. (currently amended) The system of claim [22] 21 wherein the logic to present depicts all relationships among the problem statement structure, the conclusion statement structure, and the knowledge structure.
- 24. (currently amended) The system of claim [23] <u>20</u> wherein the logic to present includes logic to present user controls to create at least one new problem statement structure, conclusion statement structure, or knowledge structure.
- 25. (currently amended) The system of claim [23] <u>20</u> wherein the logic to present include logic to present user controls to modify at least one of the problem statement structure, the conclusion statement structure, and the knowledge structure.
- 26. (original) The system of claim 20 wherein the logic to present includes logic to present structures all of a similar type.
- 27. (original) The system of claim 26 wherein the logic to present includes logic to present user controls to modify or create at least one of the problem statement structure, the conclusion statement structure, and the knowledge structure.
- 28. (original) The system of claim 20 wherein the logic to present includes logic to present an individual structure only.
- 29. (original) The system of claim 28 wherein the logic to present includes logic to present user controls to modify or create at least one of the problem statement structure, the conclusion structure, and the knowledge structure.
- 30. (currently amended) The system of claim 1 wherein the control logic includes logic to provide suggestion feedback to the user of next steps for a user to take, wherein the logic to provide is responsive to prior user interactions.
- 31. (original) The system of claim 30 wherein the logic to provide suggestion feedback includes logic to perform gap analysis on the at least a subset of the problem statement structure, the conclusion statement structure, the knowledge structure, and the relations therebetween to suggest next steps for the user to create or populate structures identified from the gap analysis.

Amendment Dated 10/06/06

Response to Office Action Dated: 04/21/06

32. (original) The system of claim 31 wherein the logic to perform gap analysis includes logic to analyze linkages among the at least one of the problem statement structure, the conclusion statement structure, and the knowledge structure to detect gaps.

- 33. (currently amended) The system of claim [31] <u>30</u> wherein the logic to provide suggestion feedback includes filtering logic to determine whether to provide suggestion feedback based on the state of development of user interactions.
- 34. (original) The system of claim 30 wherein the logic to provide suggestions includes content analysis logic to analyze content entered by the user to determine relevant suggestions for next steps to the user.
- 35. (original) The system of claim 34 wherein the content analysis logic parses user entry to determine if the entry corresponds to a predefined set of phrases.
- 36. (original) The system of claim 30 wherein the logic to provide suggestions includes relationship analysis logic to identify suggestions of next steps according to predefined relationship criteria.
- 37. (currently amended) The system of claim 30 wherein the logic to provide suggestions includes logic to present suggestions for next steps to the user-in-a visually distinctive manner.
- 38. (original) The system of claim 37 wherein the logic to present suggestions for next steps to the user presents user controls in emphasis.
- 39. (original) The system of claim 37 wherein the logic to present suggestions for next steps to the user presents workspace controls to activate a workspace corresponding to suggested next steps in proximity to a current workspace.
- 40. (original) The system of claim 30 wherein the logic to provide suggestion feedback includes logic to provide content analysis of at least one of the problem statement structure, the conclusion statement structure, and the knowledge structure.
- 41. (original) The system of claim 1 wherein the archetype model structure is specified in a set of rules specifying relationships among the problem structure, the conclusion statement structure, and the knowledge structure.
- 42. (new) The system of claim 30 wherein the logic to provide suggestion feedback is responsive to prior user interactions.
- 43. (new) The system of claim 37 wherein the logic to present includes logic to present suggestion for next steps to the user in a visually distinctive manner.
- 44. (new) The system of claim 1 further including logic to create or modify relationships among components of the user structure.
- 45. (new) The system of claim 1 further including logic to provide model project templates for the user.

4.

Amendment Dated 10/06/06

Response to Office Action Dated: 04/21/06

46. (new) The system of claim 1 wherein at least a subset of knowledge is derived from electronic sources.

- 47. (new) The system of claim 1 wherein the user is a group.
- 48. (new) The system of claim 1 wherein the specification of at least one of the problem specification, conclusion specification, and knowledge specification includes the specification of at least one link to a web site.
- 49. (new) The system of claim 30 wherein the logic to provide suggestion feedback includes logic to automatically generate at least one component for the user structure.
- 50. (new) The system of claim 30 wherein the logic to provide suggestion feedback includes logic to automatically modify at least one component for the user structure.
- 51. (new) The system of claim 30 wherein the logic to provide suggestion feedback includes logic to automatically generate or modify at least one relationship of the user structure.
- 52. (new) The system of claim 3 wherein the specification of information includes the specification of at least one structured information construct.
- 53. (new) The system of claim 4 wherein the specification of analysis includes reference to at least one information construct.
- 54. (new) The system of claim 4 wherein the specification of analysis includes reference to relationships among at least two information constructs.
- 55. (new) The system of claim 5 further including logic to send at least one message to an electronic search field, as in sending at least one problem or subtopic.
- 56. (new) The system of claim 1 wherein the problem specification comprises the specification of more than one problem specification related to the arbitrary problem.
- 57. (new) The system of claim 1 wherein the conclusion specification comprises the specification of more than one conclusion specification related to the arbitrary problem.
- 58. (new) The system of claim 1 wherein the archetype process is responsive to prior user interactions.
- 59. (new) The system of claim 1 wherein the archetype process is responsive to at least one of the problem specification, conclusion specification and knowledge specification.
- 60. (new) The system of claim 1 wherein the archetype process provides for alternative starting or initiation points.

Amendment Dated 10/06/06

Response to Office Action Dated: 04/21/06

61. (new) The system of claim 1 wherein the archetype process provides for a plurality of paths of interaction.

- 62. (new) The system of claim 1 further comprising logic to evaluate the user actions in relation to the archetype process.
- 63. (new) The system of claim 30 wherein the logic to provide suggestion feedback includes logic to evaluate the user actions in relation to the archetype process.